IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:05-CR-298-BO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
STEVEN GREGORY RICHARDSON)	

This cause comes before the Court on defendant's motion for recommendation for twelve months resident reentry center. [DE 51]. For the reasons that follow, defendant's motion is denied.

BACKGROUND

Defendant, Richardson, is currently serving a sentence of 180 months' imprisonment following his plea of guilty to one count of being a felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g) and 924. [DE 22]. Richardson filed the instant motion on October 10, 2017, requesting that the Court make a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons that defendant be permitted to serve at least twelve months in a residential reentry center prior to the expiration of his active sentence. Richardson relies on the Second Chance Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3624(c)(1), as the basis of his motion.

DISCUSSION

The Second Chance Act does not empower the court to reduce sentences or change the location of where a sentence is to be served. That authority remains vested in the Bureau of Prisons. The Second Chance Act expands the authority of the Bureau of Prisons to allow a prisoner serving a sentence of incarceration to spend up to twelve months in a half-way house so as to ease the transition from prison to freedom. Thus, the Second Chance Act grants expanded authority to the Bureau of Prisons regarding half-way house service, but does not vest the authority in this court.

¹ This matter was reassigned to the undersigned for further proceedings on October 10, 2017.

United States v. Squire, No. CR 3:09-502-JFA, 2012 WL 3848364, at *1 (D.S.C. Sept. 5, 2012). Accordingly, as Richardson recognizes in his motion, the Court is without the authority to require the Bureau of Prisons to allow Richardson to serve twelve months in a residential reentry center or half-way house, and the Court declines to make a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons regarding Richardson's placement. See United States v. Shroyer, No. 2:17CR00003, 2017 WL 4684192, at *1 (W.D. Va. Oct. 18, 2017).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, defendant's motion for recommendation [DE 51] is DENIED.

SO ORDERED, this As day of June 2019.

TERRENCE W. BOYLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE